arXiv:1503.04828v1 [math.AG] 16 Mar 2015

STRONG REGULAR EMBEDDINGS OF DELIGNE-MUMFORD STACKS AND HYPERTORIC GEOMETRY

DAN EDIDIN

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of *strong regular embeddings* of Deligne-Mumford stacks. These morphisms naturally arise in the related contexts of generalized Euler sequences and hypertoric geometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group acting on affine schemes $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and $Y = \operatorname{Spec} B$ and let $f\colon Y \to X$ be a G-equivariant morphism. Since f is G-equivariant there is an induced map of invariant subrings $A^G \to B^G$ corresponding to a morphism of quotients $g\colon Y/G \to X/G$. Certain algebro-geometric properties of the morphism f (typically related to finiteness) are automatically preserved by the morphism g. For example, if f is finite then the induced morphism of quotients $g\colon Y/G \to X/G$ is also finite. Likewise if $|G|$ is a unit in $\operatorname{Spec} B$ and $f\colon Y \to X$ is a closed embedding then $g\colon Y/G \to X/G$ is as well.

On the other hand, many properties of the morphism f will not descend. If f is flat or smooth the induced morphism of quotients need not be. Instead we can impose additional conditions on the actions of G on X and Y to ensure that a property of morphisms of schemes does descend to the quotient. Two obvious conditions that suffice are that G act freely or that G act trivially on both spaces.

Note, however, that these conditions are not necessary. For example if $Y = X \times Z$ and G acts trivially on Z then $Y/G = X/G \times Z$ so the flat projection $Y \to X$ descends to a flat projection $Y/G \to X/G$ and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d] \\
Y/G \arrow[r] & X/G
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian.

This is an example of a *stabilizer preserving morphism*, meaning that for every point $y \in Y$ the map of stabilizers $\operatorname{Stab}_y Y \to \operatorname{Stab}_{f(y)} X$ is an isomorphism of groups. A well

---

The author's research was supported in part by a Simons collaboration grant.

known folklore theorem states that an étale stabilizer preserving morphism is *strongly étale*. This means that the morphism of quotients $Y/G \to X/G$ and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d] \\
Y/G \arrow[r] & X/G
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian.

In this paper we turn our attention to the problem of determining when a regular embedding $i\colon Y \hookrightarrow X$ descends to a regular embedding of quotients $j\colon Y/G \hookrightarrow X/G$ (necessarily of codimension d since $\dim Y = \dim Y/G$ and $\dim X = \dim X/G$). The G-equivariant morphism $Y \to X$ is a local model for a morphism $\iota\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ of Deligne-Mumford stacks. We say that a morphism of Deligne-Mumford stacks is a *strong regular embedding* if the induced morphism of coarse spaces $Y \to X$ is a regular embedding and the diagram of stacks and spaces

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{Y} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[d] \\
X \arrow[r] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian.

Although any immersion of stacks is stabilizer preserving, not every regular embedding is strong (Example 3.1). Our first result, Theorem 2.2, is a characterization of strong regular embeddings. When $\mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a strong regular embedding of smooth stacks we use Artin's approximation theorem [Art] to prove that the induced morphism of coarse spaces $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a étale locally the section of a smooth morphism. As a corollary we prove that if $\tilde{X} \to X$ is a functorial resolution of singularities (in the sense of [Kol]) then the fiber product $\tilde{Y} = Y \times_X \tilde{X}$ is a resolution of singularities of Y.

We also prove that the pullback on Chow groups $(I\iota)^*\colon \mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{Y})$ commutes with the Chen-Ruan orbifold product thereby giving a ring homomorphism of orbifold Chow rings $\mathrm{CH}^*_{orb}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{CH}^*_{orb}(\mathcal{Y})$.

1.1. **Applications.**

Strong regular embeddings arise in two related contexts, generalized Euler sequences and hypertoric geometry.

1.1.1. *Generalized Euler sequences.* If $\mathcal{X} = [X/T]$ is a quotient stack with X a smooth scheme defined over a field k and T is a torus acting with finite stabilizer then $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack whose coarse space is a scheme. The cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{X}$ fits into a generalized Euler sequence of vector bundles on $\mathcal{X}$

$$0 \to T^*\mathcal{X} \to [T^*X/T] \to \mathcal{X} \times \mathrm{Lie}(T)^* \to 0$$

which implies by Theorem 2.2 that the inclusion $T^*\mathcal{X} \to [T^*X/T]$ is a strong regular embedding. We prove that if $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ are the coarse spaces of $T^*\mathcal{X}$ and $[T^*X/T]$ respectively then the regular embedding $i\colon \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{N}$ induces an isomorphism of integral Chow groups $i^*\colon \operatorname{CH}^*(\mathbf{N}) \to \operatorname{CH}^*(\mathbf{M})$. We also conjecture that if $\tilde{\mathbf{N}} \to \mathbf{N}$ is a functorial resolution of singularities, pullback along the morphism $\tilde{\mathbf{M}} \to \tilde{\mathbf{N}}$ obtained by base change induces an isomorphism of integral Chow rings $\operatorname{CH}^*(\tilde{\mathbf{N}}) \to \operatorname{CH}^*(\tilde{\mathbf{N}})$. Finally we prove that the pullback $(I\iota)^*\colon \operatorname{CH}^*(I[T^*X/T]) \to \operatorname{CH}^*(IT^*\mathcal{X})$ induces an isomorphism of orbifold Chow rings.

1.1.2. *Hypertoric stacks.* Hypertoric varieties were first defined by Bielawski and Dancer [BD] and give a large and interesting class of algebraic symplectic manifolds with complete hyperKahler metrics. Algebraic symplectic manifolds naturally occur in a variety of mathematical contexts including representation theory (Springer resolutions, Nakajima quiver varieties, Slodowy slices), string theory (moduli spaces of Higgs bundles) and mirror symmetry (Gromov-Witten theory of K3 surfaces and $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$).

The theory was further developed by a number of authors including Hausel and Sturmfels ([HS]) and Proudfoot ([Pro2, Pro1].

Let T be a torus of rank d and let V be an n-dimensional representation of T with $d \times n$ weight matrix $A = (a_{ij})$. There is a natural algebraic moment map $\mu\colon V \times V^* \to \operatorname{Lie}(T)^*$ and the hypertoric variety $\mathbf{Y}(A,\theta)$ is defined as the double reduction $(V \times V^*)////T$ where the first reduction is an algebraic symplectic reduction with respect to μ and the second reduction is a GIT quotient with respect to the torus action linearized with respect to a character $\theta \in X(T)$.

The hypertoric variety $\mathbf{Y}(A,\theta)$ naturally embedds in a *Lawrence toric variety* $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ which is the GIT quotient $(V \times V^*)//T$. Following Jiang and Tseng [JT1] we refer to the corresponding quotient stack $\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta)$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$) as a *hypertoric stack* (resp. *Lawrence toric stack*). If the character θ is generic then the stacks $\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta)$ and $\mathcal{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ are Deligne-Mumford and the corresponding varieties are their coarse spaces.

In Section 5 we prove that the embedding $\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ is a strong regular embedding. This implies that the morphism $\mathbf{Y}(A,\theta) \to \mathbf{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ is a regular embedding which is étale locally a section of smooth morphism, so that any functorial resolution of singularities of the Lawrence toric stack $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ pulls back to resolution of singularities of the hypertoric variety $\mathbf{Y}(A,\theta)$.

We also prove (Theorem 5.4) that the inclusion morphism $\iota\colon \mathcal{Y}(A,\theta) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ induces an isomorphism of integral Chow rings $\operatorname{CH}^*(\mathcal{X}(A^{\pm},\theta) \to \operatorname{CH}^*(\mathcal{X}(A,\theta))$ and integral Chow groups $\operatorname{CH}^*(\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)) \to \operatorname{CH}^*(\mathbf{Y}(A,\theta))$.

As a corollary of Theorems 5.4 and 2.6 we also prove (Corollary 2.8) that the inclusion of inertia stacks $I\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta) \to I\mathcal{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ induces an isomorphism of integral orbifold Chow rings. With rational coefficients this result was previously obtained by Jiang and Tseng [JT1].

1.2. **Conventions and notation.**

1.2.1. *Generalities on stacks.*

Unless otherwise stated we work with Deligne-Mumford stacks of finite type (and hence finite presentation) over a Noetherian scheme S.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Deligne-Mumford stack. If T is an S-scheme and $T \stackrel{x}{\to} \mathcal{X}$ is a T-valued point then we use the notation $\operatorname{Aut}(x)$ to denote the T-group $\operatorname{Isom}_T(x,x)$. Following Abramovich and Vistoli [AV] we say that a Deligne-Mumford stack is *tame* if for every geometric point $\operatorname{Spec} k \stackrel{x}{\to} \mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{X}$, the finite group $\operatorname{Aut}(x)$ is linearly reductive over $\operatorname{Spec} k$. This is equivalent to saying that $|\operatorname{Aut}(x)|$ is prime to the characteristic of k.

If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack we let $I\mathcal{X}$ denote the *inertia stack* $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}$ where the fiber product is taken with respect to the diagonal $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$. Because $\mathcal{X}$ is Deligne-Mumford the natural morphism $I\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ is unramified. The fiber of $I\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ over a T-valued point $T \stackrel{x}{\to} \mathcal{X}$ is the T-group $\operatorname{Aut}(x)$. We denote by $I^2\mathcal{X}$ the *double inertia* stack defined as the fiber product $I\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I\mathcal{X}$. Note that $I^2\mathcal{X}$ is not in general equivalent to the stack $I(I\mathcal{X})$.

A Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathcal{X}$ has *finite stabilizer* if the morphism $I\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ is finite. The stack is separated if the diagonal $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is finite. A fundamental theorem of Keel and Mori implies that any Deligne-Mumford stack with finite stabilizer has a coarse space X.

An algebraic space X is a *coarse space* of a stack $\mathcal{X}$ if there is a morphism $\mathcal{X} \stackrel{\pi}{\to} X$ such that
(i) π is initial for maps from $\mathcal{X}$ to algebraic spaces; ie for any morphism $f \colon \mathcal{X} \to Z$ with Z an algebraic space there is a unique morphism of algebraic spaces $g \colon X \to Z$ such that the morphism f factors as $g \circ \pi$

(ii) π induces a bijection between geometric points of $\mathcal{X}$ and geometric points of X.

The universal property (i) implies that the coarse space is unique up to (unique) isomorphism of algebraic spaces.

A stack $\mathcal{X}$ is a *quotient stack* if it is equivalent to a stack of the form $[X/G]$ where X is an algebraic space and G is flat closed subgroup scheme of $\operatorname{GL}_n(S)$ for some n. Equivalently we may assume $\mathcal{X} = [X'/\operatorname{GL}_n(S)]$ where X' is the algebraic space $(X \times \operatorname{GL}_n(S))/G$.

If $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ is a quotient stack then the coarse space of $\mathbf{X}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is the geometric quotient X/G in the category of algebraic spaces.

1.2.2. *Chow groups.* If X is a scheme defined over a field we denote by $\mathrm{CH}_d(X)$ the group of d-dimensional cycles modulo rational equivalence. Likewise we denote by $\mathrm{CH}^d(X)$ the group of codimension-d cycles modulo rational equivalence. When X is equidimensional of dimension n, $\mathrm{CH}_d(X) = \mathrm{CH}^{n-d}(X)$. Set $CH_*(X) = \oplus_d CH_d(X)$ and $CH^*(X) = \oplus_d CH^d(X)$. If $f \colon Y \to X$ is proper then there is a pushforward $f_* \colon CH_d(Y) \to CH_d(X)$. Likewise if f is flat, or an lci morphism there is a pullback $f^* \colon CH^d(X) \to CH^d(Y)$. When X is smooth, the diagonal is a regular embedding and pullback along the diagonal defines a graded ring structure on $CH^*(X)$.

This definition of Chow groups formally extends to algebraic spaces.

If G is a linear algebraic group acting on an algebraic space X then we can define equivariant Chow groups [EG]. The group $\mathrm{CH}^d_G(X)$ is defined as $\mathrm{CH}^d((X \times U)/G$ where U is an open set in a representation V of G such that G acts freely on U and $\mathrm{codim}(V \smallsetminus U) > d$. This definition is independent of the representation V and the open set U.

If $\mathcal{X}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack then $\mathrm{CH}^d(\mathcal{X})$ denotes the Chow group in codimension d defined by Kresch in [Kre]. Typically we will work with quotient stacks $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$. In this case $\mathrm{CH}^d(\mathcal{X}) = CH^d_G(X)$ where $CH^d_G(X)$ denotes the equivariant Chow group defined above. Note that $CH^d(\mathcal{X})$ can be non-zero for $d > \dim \mathcal{X}$, although this group will be torsion. Likewise the group $CH_d(\mathcal{X})$ can be non-zero for negative d.

Bloch defined for a scheme X, *higher Chow groups* $\mathrm{CH}_d(X,k)$ parametrizing cycles of dimension $d+k$ on $X \times \Delta^k$ which intersect the faces of Δ^k transversely. (Here Δ^k denotes the algebraic k-simplex $\mathrm{Spec}\, k[t_0, \ldots, t_k]/(t_0 + \ldots + t_k - 1)$.) In this definition $CH^d(X,0) = CH^d(X)$.

A key property of higher Chow groups proved by Bloch [Blo] and Levine [Lev] is that if X is an arbitrary scheme and $Z \subset X$ is a closed subscheme with complement U there is a localization long exact sequence

$$\ldots \to CH_d(Z,k) \to CH_d(X,k) \to CH_d(U,k) \to \ldots \tag{1}$$

extending the classical localization sequence

$$CH_d(Z) \to CH_d(X) \to CH_d(U) \to 0$$

for ordinary Chow groups.

1.3. **Acknowledgments.** The author is grateful to Daniel Bergh and Daniel Lowengrub for helpful discussions.

2. Definitions and statements of results

Definition 2.1. A morphism of Deligne-Mumford stacks $\iota\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is said to be a *strong regular embedding* if the induced morphism of coarse spaces $i\colon Y \to X$ is a regular embedding and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{Y} \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[d] \\
Y \arrow[r, "i"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian.

Our first result is the following characterization of strong regular embeddings:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\iota\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a regular embedding of tame Deligne-Mumford stacks and let $Y \to X$ be the corresponding embedding of coarse spaces. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\iota\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a strong regular embedding.

(ii) There is a stratification of $\mathcal{Y}$ by locally closed substacks such that the normal bundle N_ι restricted to each stratum is trivial.

(ii') If Y is a scheme then N_ι is locally trivial in the Zariski topology on $\mathcal{Y}$.

(iii) For every geometric point $\operatorname{Spec} k \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{Y}$ the pullback of $(N_\iota)_y$ is a trivial representation of the inertia group $G_y = \operatorname{Aut}(y)$.

(iv) For every geometric point $\operatorname{Spec} k \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{Y}$ there is a strongly étale morphism $[U/G_y] \to \mathcal{X}$ with U affine such that normal bundle to the regular embedding $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} [U/G] \hookrightarrow [U/G]$ is trivial.

(v) For every geometric point $\operatorname{Spec} k \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{Y}$ there is a strongly étale morphism $[U/G_y] \to \mathcal{X}$ where U is an affine scheme such that $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} U$ is defined by a G-fixed regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}(U)$.

2.1. Strong regular embeddings and resolutions of singularities.

Given a strong regular embedding $\iota\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ of smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks we obtain a regular embedding of the possibly singular coarse spaces $Y \to X$. Our next result shows that this morphism is rather distinguished among regular embeddings in that it is étale locally a section of a smooth morphism.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a strong regular embedding of smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks and let $Y \to X$ be the induced regular embedding of coarse spaces. Then for any point y of Y there are étale neighborhoods $W \to Y$ of y in Y and $Z \to X$ of y in X*

such that the morphism $W \to X$ factors as $W \to W' \to Z \to X$ where $W' \to Z$ a section of a smooth morphism $Z \to W'$ and the morphism $W \to W'$ is étale.

As an application of Theorem 2.3 we can show that the induced morphisms of coarse moduli spaces has a strong functorial property with respect to resolutions of singularities.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\iota\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a strong regular embedding of smooth, tame Deligne-Mumford stacks defined over a perfect field k. Then, if $\tilde{X}$ is a canonical (functorial) resolution of singularities of X then $Y \times_X \tilde{Y}$ is a a resolution of singularities of Y.*

Remark 2.5. To date, the existence of resolutions of singularities has only been established in characteristic 0.

2.2. **Strong regular embeddings and orbifold products.** For stacks defined over a field we also obtain the following result about orbifold products. See Section 3.3 for the notation. The proof will be given in Section 3.3.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\iota\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a strong regular embedding of smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks and let $I\iota\colon I\mathcal{Y} \to I\mathcal{X}$ be the induced morphism of inertia stacks. The pullback $(I\iota)^*\colon \mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{Y})$ commutes with the orbifold products on $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{Y})$.*

As an application we obtain the following corollaries which will be proved in Section 4 and Section 5 respectively.

Corollary 2.7. *Let T be a torus acting with finite stabilizer on a smooth variety X and let $\mathcal{X} = [X/T]$ be the quotient stack. The pullback $(I\iota)^*\colon \mathrm{CH}^*(I[T^*X/T]) \to \mathrm{CH}^*(IT^*\mathcal{X})$ induces an isomorphism of orbifold Chow rings $\mathrm{CH}^*_{orb}([T^*X/T]) \to \mathrm{CH}^*_{orb}(T^*\mathcal{X})$.*

Corollary 2.8. *If $\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta)$ is a hypertoric stack*[1] *and $\mathcal{X}(A,\theta)$ is the associated Lawrence toric stack then the pullback on Chow groups $(I\iota)^*\colon \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathcal{X}(A,\theta)) \to \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta))$ induces an isomorphism of orbifold Chow rings.*

The analogous results also hold for orbifold K-theory.

Remark 2.9. With rational coefficients, Corollary 2.8 was proved by direct calculation by Jiang and Tseng in [JT1, Theorem 3.10].

3. Proofs of Theorems

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.2.**

---

[1] See Section 5 for notation and definitions.

(i) $\implies$ (ii), (ii'). Since the relative dimension of the morphism $\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{X}$ is necessarily the same as the relative dimension of the morphism $Y \xrightarrow{i} X$, the normal bundle N_ι is the pullback of the normal bundle N_i. If Y is an algebraic space then it has a stratification by schemes, and on each open stratum N_i is locally trivial. Refining the stratification if necessary we obtain one where N_i is trivial on each open stratum. Pulling back to $\mathcal{Y}$ gives the desired conclusion about N_ι. In the case where Y is a scheme then N_i is locally trivial in the Zariski topology and (ii') follows.

(ii) $\implies$ (iii). Let $\operatorname{Spec} k \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{Y}$ be a geometric point of $\mathcal{Y}$. Our hypothesis (ii) implies that the pullback of N_ι to BG_y is trivial. This means that the fiber of N_ι at y is a trivial G_y-module which is assertion (iii).

(iii) $\implies$ (iv). By the local structure theorem for Deligne-Mumford stacks (cf. [AV, Lemma 2.2.3]), every geometric point $y \to \mathcal{Y}$ has a strongly étale neighborhood isomorphic to $[U/G_y]$ where U is an affine scheme and $G_y = \operatorname{Aut}_y(\mathcal{Y})$. The pullback N of N_ι to $[U/G_y]$ corresponds to a G_y-equivariant vector bundle N on the affine scheme U. Let O be a point of U mapping to y so that O is fixed by G_y. In a neighborhood of the fixed point O the bundle N is non-equivariantly trivial. Taking the intersection over all $g \in G_y$ of the translates of this neighborhood we obtain a G_y-invariant neighborhood of O such that the restriction of N to this neighborhood is non-equivariantly trivial. Replacing U with this neighborhood we may assume that N decomposes as $\oplus_V V \otimes \mathcal{O}_U^{r_V}$ where the sum is over the irreducible representations of G_y. By hypothesis the fiber of N at the fixed point O is a trivial representation. So N must be globally trivial as a G_y-equivariant bundle.

(iv) $\implies$ (v). Again let O be a fixed point for the G_y action which maps to y and let $V = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} U$. Then $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} [U/G_y] = [V/G_y]$. Since O is a fixed point the local ring $A = \mathcal{O}_{U,O}$ has an induced action of G_y. Let B denote the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{V,G}$ so $B = A/I$. We wish to show that I is generated by a G_y-fixed regular sequence. By assumption G_y acts trivially on the B-module I/I^2. Then I/I^2 is free with basis $\overline{x}_1, \ldots \overline{x}_r$ each of which is G_y-fixed. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ be lifts of these elements to a regular sequence in A. By construction $gx_i \equiv x_i \mod I^2$. Since G is linearly reductive the G-module has a Reynolds operator ρ. Let $y_i = \rho(x_i)$ then $y_i \equiv x_i \mod I^2$ so the y_i form a G_y-fixed regular sequence that generate I.

(v) $\implies$ (i). Since $[U/G] \to \mathcal{X}$ is strongly étale the corresponding morphism $U/G \to X$ is étale, and to check that $\iota \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a strong regular embedding it suffices to check that the morphism $[V/G] \to [U/G]$ is a strong regular embedding where $V = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} U$.

As above assume that $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and $V = \operatorname{Spec} A/I$. Then we must show that the kernel of the morphism of invariant rings $A^G \to (A/I)^G$ is generated by a regular sequence and that $A/I = A^G \otimes_{A^G} (A/I)^G$.

Let $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in A$ be a regular sequence of G-fixed elements that generate I. Then these elements also generate the invariant ideal I^G. Since G is linearly reductive

$(A/I)^G = A^G/I^G$ as A^G-modules. Also, since A^G is a subring of A the sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is also regular in A^G. Finally, since I is generated by G-fixed elements $I^G A = I$ so $A/I = A \otimes_{A^G} (A/I)^G$ as claimed.

Example 3.1. We illustrate the failure of the Theorem when ι is not a strong regular embedding. Let $Y = \mathbb{A}^2$ with the action of $G = \pm 1$ given by $(-1)(x,y) = (-x,-y)$ and let $X = \{(0,0)\}$. Then the morphism of quotient stacks $BG = [X/G] \to [Y/G]$ is a regular embedding. However the induced morphism of coarse moduli spaces is the inclusion of the singular point in the affine quadric cone $\operatorname{Spec} k[x^2, y^2, xy]$.

In this example I is not generated by a G-fixed regular sequence and I^G is generated by (x^2, xy, y^2) which do not form a regular sequence in the invariant subring $k[x^2, xy, y^2]$. The normal bundle to $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ is the vector bundle on BG corresponding to the non-trivial two-dimensional representation of G with weights $(-1,-1)$.

On the other hand if we consider the action given by $(-1)(a,b) = (-a,b)$ and let $Y = Z(y)$ then Y is defined by a G-fixed regular sequence and $I = (y) = I^G$ so that $A/I = \operatorname{Spec} k[x]$ is obtained by extension of scalars from $A^G/I^G = \operatorname{Spec} k[x^2]$.

Example 3.2. The tameness assumption is crucial so that the group G is linearly reductive. Let k be field of characteristic 2 and let $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ act on $k[x,y]$ be exchanging coordinates. Let $I = (x+y)$. Then I is generated by a G-fixed regular sequence. However, the sequence of G-modules $0 \to I \to A \to A/I$ does not remain exact after taking G-invariants, since the map $A^G = k[x+y, xy] \to (A/I)^G = k[x,y]/(x+y)$ is not surjective. In particular the map $\operatorname{Spec}(A/I)^G \to \operatorname{Spec} A^G$ is not a closed embedding. Hence the regular embedding of stacks $[(\operatorname{Spec} A/I)/G] \to [(\operatorname{Spec} A)/G]$ is not a strong regular embedding.

3.2. **Proofs of Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4.**

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $y \to \mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a geometric point. By the local structure theorem for tame Deligne-Mumford stacks there is a strongly étale neighborhood $[U/G_y, O] \to (\mathcal{X}, y)$ where $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ is affine and $O \in U$ is G_y-fixed.

Since the morphism $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is representable and affine, the inverse image V of $\mathcal{Y}$ in U is affine and the morphism $([V/G_y], O) \to (\mathcal{Y}, y)$ is also strongly representable and we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
([V/G_y], O) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & ([U/G_y], O) \arrow[d] \\
(\mathcal{Y}, y) \arrow[r, hook] & (\mathcal{X}, y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps are strongly étale.

Thus we are reduced to the case that $\mathcal{X} = [U/G]$ with $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ affine, G a finite reductive group, and $\mathcal{Y} = [V/G]$ where $V = \operatorname{Spec} A/I$ is a closed subscheme whose ideal $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ is generated by a G-invariant regular sequence. Since $O \in U$ in assumed to be G-fixed there is an induced action of G on $\hat{A}$, the completion of A at the maximal ideal of O. Since V is smooth over the ground scheme, there is an isomorphism of G-algebras $\hat{A} \simeq \widehat{A/I}[[\overline{f}_1, \ldots \overline{f}_r]]$ where the $\overline{f}_i$ is any lift of f_i to A. Since G acts trivially on the f_i we obtain an isomorphism $\hat{A}^G \simeq (\widehat{A/I})^G[[\overline{f}_1, \ldots \overline{f}_r]]$.

Since G is linearly reductive, $\hat{A}^G = \widehat{A^G}$ where the completion of A^G is taken at the contraction of the maximal ideal corresponding to $O \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. Thus the completion of the local ring of $X = U/G$ at the image of O is isomorphic to a formal power series ring over the completion of the local ring of $Y = V/G$ at the image P of O in Y. Applying Artin's étale approximation theorem [Art, Corollary 2.6], there is a scheme W and étale morphisms $W \to X$ and $W \to Y \times \mathbb{A}^r$ whose image contains P and $P \times 0$ where 0 is the origin in $\mathbb{A}^r$. Let $Z' = Y \times_{Y \times \mathbb{A}^r} Z$ so the induced morphism $Z' \to W$ is a section of a smooth morphism. Applying Artin's approximation to Z' and Y yields étale morphisms $Z \to Y$ and $Z \to Z'$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & Z' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & W \arrow[d] \\
 & Y \arrow[r] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Corollary 2.4 follows from Theorem 2.3 and the following proposition.

Definition 3.3. A regular embedding $Y \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} X$ with the following local structure is called a *tubular* regular embedding :
For each point of $y \in Y \subset X$ there are étale neighborhoods of $W \to X$ and $Z \to Y$ such that the morphism $Z \to X$ factors as $Z \to Z' \to W \to X$ where $Z' \to W$ is a section of a smooth morphism and $Z \to Z'$ is étale

Proposition 3.4. *Let* BR *be a resolution of singularities functor which is functorial for smooth morphisms* [Kol, Chapter 3] *and let* $i \colon Y \to X$ *be a tubular regular embedding. Let* $\tilde{X} = BR(X)$. *Then* $i^*\tilde{X}$ *is a resolution of singularities of* $\tilde{X}$. *(Here* $i^*\tilde{X}$ *refers to the fiber product* $Y \times_X \tilde{X}$.*)*

Proof. First suppose that $i \colon Y \to X$ is a section of a smooth morphism $\pi \colon X \to Y$. In this case, by functoriality, $\tilde{X} = \pi^*\tilde{Y}$ where $\tilde{Y} = BR(Y)$. Since $\pi \circ i = 1_Y$, $i^*\tilde{X} = \tilde{Y}$.

For the general case observe that if $Y \to X$ is a regular embedding then the image of the smooth locus of Y is contained in the smooth locus of X. The reason is that any regular embedding with a smooth source must have a smooth target by [EGA4, Theorem 17.12.1].

Thus $i^*Y \to Y$ is an isomorphism over the smooth locus of Y. To finish the proof we must show that i^*Y is smooth. This can be done after étale base change. By hypothesis we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[dr, "h"] & Z' \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d] & W \arrow[d, "f"] \\
 & Y \arrow[r, "i"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

where j is a section of a smooth morphism and f, g, h are étale. Hence $\tilde{Z} = g^*j^*f^*\tilde{X}$. On the other hand by commutativity $g^*j^*f^*\tilde{X} = h^*i^*\tilde{X}$. Thus $i^*\tilde{X}$ is smooth after base change by the étale morphism h. ☐

Remark 3.5. A result similar to Proposition 3.4 was proved by Daniel Lowengrub in [Low].

3.3. Orbifold products and Proof of Theorem 2.6.

3.3.1. *Definition of the obstruction class and orbifold product.* If $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack with finite stabilizer then Chen and Ruan [CR] defined an exotic ring structure on the cohomology of the inertia stack $I\mathcal{X}$ called the orbifold product. This product has been studied by many authors and extended to both Chow groups and K-theory. We briefly recall the definition the orbifold product using the formalism developed in [JKK, EJK1, EJK2].

Denote by $I^2\mathcal{X}$ the fiber product $I\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{X}} I\mathcal{X}$. Denote by e_1 and e_2 the two projections $I^2\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$. Since $I^2\mathcal{X}$ has the structure as a relative group scheme over $I\mathcal{X}$ there is an additional morphism $\mu\colon I^2\mathcal{X} \to I\mathcal{X}$ corresponding to the composition in this group.

The orbifold product on $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X})$ is defined as follows. Given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X})$,

$$\alpha \star \beta = \mu_* \left(e_1^*\alpha \cdot e_2^*\beta \cdot \mathrm{eu}(\mathscr{R}_{\mathcal{X}})\right)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the *obstruction* bundle and eu denotes its top Chern class. (Note that $I\mathcal{X}$ is in general not equidimensional.) This product preserves the *age grading* on the Chow groups of $I\mathcal{X}$. (See [JKK] for the definition of the age grading.)

An analogous product can be defined in K-theory where the symbol $\mathrm{eu}(\mathscr{R}_X)$ refers to the K-theoretic Euler class $\lambda_{-1}(\mathscr{R}_X^*)$.

We denote by $\mathrm{CH}^*_{orb}(\mathcal{X})$ the group $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X})$ with the orbifold product and age grading.

If we make the very mild assumption that $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ with G a linear algebraic group acting with finite stabilizer on a smooth algebraic space $\mathcal{X}$ then the formalism of [JKK, EJK1, EJK2] can be used to define the class of the obstruction bundle in $K_0(I^2\mathcal{X})$, the Grothendieck group of vector bundles on $I^2\mathcal{X}$. To do this we recall the definition of the logarithmic trace.

Definition 3.6. [EJK1, Definition 4.3] Let X be an algebraic space with the action of an algebraic group Z and let $V \to X$ be a Z-equivariant vector bundle on X. Let g be a finite order automorphism of order r of the fibers of $V \to X$ such that the action of g commutes with the action of Z. Set $L(g)(V) = \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{k}{r} V_k \in K_0(Z, X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ where V_k is the $e^{2\pi i k/r}$-eigenspaces for the action of g. (Here $K_0(Z, X)$ denotes the Grothendieck group of Z-equivariant vector bundles on X.)

Remark 3.7. Observe that $L(g)(V) = 0$ if and only if g acts trivially on the fibers of $V \to X$.

Under the assumption that $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$, $I^2\mathcal{X} = [I^2_G X/G]$ where $I^2_G X = \{(g_1, g_2, x) | g_1 x = g_2 x\}$. Following [EJK1] $I^2_G X$ decomposes into a disjoint sum indexed by double conjugacy [2] classes $\Psi \subset G \times G$. Specifically $I_G X = \coprod_\Phi I_\Phi$ where

$$I_\Phi = \{(g_1, g_2, x) | g_1 x = g_2 x = x, (g_1, g_2) \in \Phi\}.$$

If $(g_1, g_2) \in \Phi$ then $[I_\Phi/G] = [X^{g_1,g_2}/Z_G(g_1, g_2)]$ where $Z_G(g_1, g_2)$ is the subgroup of G centralizing g_1 and g_2.

On a component $[I_\Phi/G] = [X^{g_1,g_2}/Z_G(g_1, g_2)]$ the class of the obstruction bundle is given as an element of $K_0(Z_G(g_1, g_2), (X^{g_1,g_2})$ by the formula [EJK2, Definitions 2.2.3, 2.2.6, 2.3.3]

(2)

$$LR(\mathbb{T}) := L(g_1)(\mathbb{T}|_{X^{g_1,g_2}}) + L(g_2)(\mathbb{T}|_{X^{g_1,g_2}}) + L((g_1 g_2)^{-1})(\mathbb{T}|_{X^{g_1,g_2}}) - \mathbb{T}|_{X^{g_1,g_2}} + (\mathbb{T}|_{X^{g_1,g_2}})^{g_1,g_2}.$$

Here $\mathbb{T}$ is the class in $K_0(G, X)$ corresponding to the tangent bundle of the stack $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$.

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 2.6.**

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a strong regular embedding and let $\mathscr{R}_X$ be the class of the obstruction bundle for the orbifold product in $K_0(I^2\mathcal{X})$. Then $\mathscr{R}_Y = I^2\iota^*\mathscr{R}_X$ where $\mathscr{R}_Y$ is the obstruction bundle for the orbifold product on $\mathcal{Y}$ and $I^2\iota \colon I^2\mathcal{Y} \to I^2\mathcal{X}$ is the inclusion.*

A necessary ingredient in the Proof of Theorem 3.8 is the following property of strong regular embeddings.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\iota \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a strong regular embedding of smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks and let $I\mathcal{Y}$ and $I\mathcal{X}$ be their respective inertia stacks. Then the normal bundle of $I\mathcal{Y}$ in $I\mathcal{X}$ is the pullback of the normal bundle of $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathcal{X}$. Likewise the normal bundle to $I^2\mathcal{Y}$ in $I^2\mathcal{X}$ is the pullback of the normal bundle of $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathcal{X}$.*

---

[2] A double conjugacy class is the orbit of a pair $(g_1, g_2) \subset G \times G$ under the diagonal action of G by conjugation.

Example 3.10. The hypothesis that $\mathcal{Y}$ is smooth is crucial. Let $\mathcal{X} = [\mathbb{A}^2/\mu_2]$ where μ_2 acts by $-1 \cdot (a,b) = (-a,-b)$. Let $V = V(xy)$ and let $\mathcal{V} = [V/\mu_2]$. Since V is defined by a μ_2-invariant function, the embedding $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a strong regular embedding of pure codimension one. The inertia $I\mathcal{X}$ is the quotient disjoint sum $I\mathcal{X} \coprod B\mu_2$ and the inertia $I\mathcal{Y}$ is the disjoint sum of $I\mathcal{Y} \coprod B\mu_2$. Thus the embedding of $I\mathcal{Y}$ in $I\mathcal{X}$ does not have pure codimension one so the normal bundle if $I\mathcal{Y}$ in $I\mathcal{X}$ cannot be the pullback of the normal bundle of $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathcal{X}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.9. Since $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a closed embedding the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I\mathcal{Y} \arrow[r, "I\iota"] \arrow[d] & I\mathcal{X} \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{Y} \arrow[r, "\iota"] & \mathcal{X}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is cartesian. Moreover, since $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ are smooth, $I\mathcal{Y}$ and $I\mathcal{X}$ are also smooth, so $I\iota$ is also a regular embedding. To check that the normal bundle of $I\mathcal{Y}$ in $I\mathcal{X}$ is the pullback of the normal bundle of $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ it suffices to prove that the two embeddings have the same codimension.

This can be checked after base change by strongly étale morphism. Thus we can assume that $\mathcal{X} = U/G$ where U is affine and G is a finite group and $\mathcal{Y} = [V/G]$ where V is a closed subscheme cut out by a G-fixed regular sequence. Since $\mathcal{X} = [U/G]$ the inertia stack $I\mathcal{X}$ is the quotient stack $[I_G U/G]$ where $I_G X$ is the inertia group for the action of G. Since G is finite $I_G U = \coprod_{g \in G} U^g$ where U^g is the subscheme fixed by g. Likewise, $I\mathcal{Y} = [I_G V/G]$ and $I_G V = \coprod_{g \in G} V^g$.

Thus it suffices to check that $V \hookrightarrow U$ is a G-equivariant embedding of affine schemes of pure codimension d and V is defined by a G-fixed regular sequence. Then for any $g \in G$ the codimension of V^g in U^g is also d. Let $y \in V^g$ be a point and let G_y be the stabilizer of y which necessarily contains g. The argument used in the proof of Theorem 2.2 shows that the complete local ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y,U}$ is isomorphic as a G_y-module to $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y,V}[[T_1, \ldots, T_d]]$ where G_y acts trivially on the T_i. Hence

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y,U^g} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{y,U}^{\langle g \rangle} = \mathcal{O}_{y,V}^{\langle g \rangle}[[T_1, \ldots, T_d]]$$

so the codimension is preserved.

A similar argument shows that the normal bundle of $I^2\mathcal{Y}$ in $I^2\mathcal{X}$ is also the pullback of the normal bundle to $I\mathcal{Y}$ in $I\mathcal{X}$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.8. If $\mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a strong regular embedding then by Proposition 3.9 we have the following identity in $K_0(Z_G(g_1, g_2), (Y^{g_1,g_2}))$:

$$\mathbb{T}\mathcal{X}|_{Y^{g_1,g_2}} = \mathbb{T}\mathcal{Y}|_{Y^{g_1,g_2}} + N|_{Y^{g_1,g_2}}$$

where N is the normal bundle of $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathcal{X}$. Moreover, since ι is a strong regular embedding the action of the fibers of $N|_{Y^{g_1,g_2}}$ are trivial modules for the action of the group generated by g_1, g_2. Hence $L(g_1)(N) = L(g_2)(N) = L((g_1g_2)^{-1})(N) = 0$. Also, since g_1, g_2 act trivially on N, $N = N^{g_1,g_2}$. Substituting into formula (2) we see that $LT(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{X}})|_{Y^{g_1,g_2}} = LT(\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{Y}})$ which proves the proposition. □

Proof of Theorem 2.6. To prove the theorem we must show the following identity holds for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X})$.

$$\mu_* \left(e_1^*(I\iota)^*(\alpha) \cdot e_2^*(I\iota)^*(\beta) \cdot \mathrm{eu}(\mathscr{R}_{\mathcal{Y}})\right) = (I^2\iota)^* \left(\mu_*(e_1^*\alpha \cdot e_2^*\beta \cdot \mathrm{eu}(\mathscr{R}_{\mathcal{X}})\right) \tag{3}$$

By Theorem 3.8 $\mathscr{R}_{\mathcal{Y}} = (I^2\iota)^*\mathscr{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$. By Proposition 3.9 the normal bundle of $I^2\mathcal{Y}$ in $I^2\mathcal{X}$ is the pullback of the normal bundle to $I\mathcal{Y}$ in $I\mathcal{X}$. It follows [Ful, Theorem 6.2(b), (c)] that $e_i^* \circ (I\iota)^* = (I^2\iota) \circ e_i^*$ as morphisms $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{CH}^*(I^2\mathcal{Y})$. Applying [Ful, Theorem 6.2(a), (b)] also implies that $\mu_* \circ (I^2\iota)^* = (I\iota)^* \circ \mu_*$ as morphism $\mathrm{CH}^*(I^2\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{Y})$.

Substituting these identities into Equation (3) yields the theorem. □

3.5. **Examples.** Let $\mathcal{X} = [\mathbb{A}^3/\mu_3]$ where the generator ω of μ_3 acts by $\omega(a,b,c) = (a, \omega b, \omega^2 c)$. Since $\mathbb{A}^3$ is a representation of μ_3, $\mathrm{CH}^*(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{CH}^*(B\mu_3) = \mathbb{Z}[t]/3t$. The inertia $I\mathcal{X}$ has three components indexed by μ_3. The identity section I_1 is isomorphic $\mathcal{X}$ and the components I_ω and I_{ω^2} which are both isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1 \times B\mu_3$ which is identified with the quotient $[\{(a,0,0)\}/B\mu_3]$. The Chow groups $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X})$ have a natural $\mathrm{CH}^*(B\mu_3)$-module structure which is preserved by the orbifold product so we write $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X}) = \oplus_{m \in \mu_3} \mathbb{Z}[t]/(3t)$.

Since μ_3 is abelian there are 9 double conjugacy classes and $I^2\mathcal{X}$ has 9 components indexed by $\mu_3 \times \mu_3$. For each pair $(m_1, m_2) \in \mu_3 \times \mu_3$ let $\mathscr{R}(m_1, m_2)$ be the restriction of the obstruction bundle to the component $I^2_{m_1,m_2} = [(\mathbb{A}^3)^{m_1,m_2}/\mu_3]$. Let ξ be the defining representation of μ_3. Then the tangent bundle $\mathbb{T}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ corresponds to the representation $1 + \chi + \chi^2$ where 1 denotes the trivial representation. Using the formula of [EJK1] we obtain $\mathscr{R}(\omega,\omega) = \chi^2$ $\mathscr{R}(\omega^2,\omega^2) = \chi$ and $\mathscr{R}(m_1, m_2) = 0$ for all other pairs $(m_1, m_2) \in \mu_3 \times \mu_3$.

If we denote by l_m with $m \in \mu_3$ the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}[t]/(3t)$-module $\mathrm{CH}^*(I_m)$, then we obtain the following identities for the orbifold product.

$$\begin{aligned} l_\omega \star l_\omega &= 2t l_\omega \\ l_\omega \star l_{\omega^2} &= 2t^2 l_1 \\ l_{\omega^2} \star l_{\omega^2} &= t l_\omega \end{aligned}$$

and l_1 acts as the identity. Hence

$$\mathrm{CH}^*_{orb}(I\mathcal{X}) = \mathbb{Z}[t, l_\omega, l_{\omega^2}]/(3t, l_\omega^2 - 2tl_\omega, l_\omega l_{\omega^2} - 2t^2, l_{\omega^2}^2 - tl_{\omega^2}).$$

We will now consider various substacks of $\mathcal{X}$ and compare orbifold Chow rings. To start let $\mathcal{Y} = [\mathbb{A}^2/\mu_3]$ where $\omega \cdot (b,c) = (\omega b, \omega c)$ The map $\mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^3$, $(b,c) \mapsto (0,b,c)$ induces a strong regular embedding of stacks $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ since the ideal of $\mathbb{A}^2$ in $\mathbb{A}^3$ is generated by the μ_3-fixed function x. Again, $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{Y})$ is isomorphic to the $\oplus_{m\in\mu_3}\mathbb{Z}[t]/(3t)$ and the pullback induced by the inclusion $I\mathcal{Y} \to I\mathcal{X}$ maps l_ω to l_ω and l_{ω^2} to l_{ω^2} and direct calculation shows that the pullback induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{CH}^*_{orb}(\mathcal{Y}) \to \mathrm{CH}^*_{orb}(\mathcal{X})$.

Now let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the substack $[\mathbb{A}^2/\mu_3]$ where $\omega \cdot (a,b) = (a, \omega b)$. The map $\mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^3$, $(a,b) \mapsto (a,b,0)$ induces an embedding $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ but since the defining equation of $\mathbb{A}^2$ in $\mathbb{A}^3$ is not μ_3-invariant this is not a strong regular embedding. Indeed a direct calculation shows that $\mathscr{R}(\omega,\omega) = 0$ so that $\mathscr{R}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is not the pullback of $\mathscr{R}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Again, $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{Y})$ is isomorphic to $\oplus_{m\in\mu_3}\mathbb{Z}[t]/(3t)$ and the pullback induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ maps l_m to l_m. However, because $\mathscr{R}_{\omega,\omega} = 0$ the orbifold Chow ring has the following presentation

$$\mathrm{CH}^*_{orb}(I\mathcal{Y}) = \mathbb{Z}[t, l_1, l_2]/(3t, l_{\omega^2} - l_\omega, l_\omega l_{\omega^2} - 2t^2, l_{\omega^2} l_{\omega^2} - t l_{\omega^2})$$

and we see that the map that sends the generator l_m of $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X}_m)$ to the generator l_m of $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{Y}_m)$ is not a homomorphism of orbifold Chow rings.

Finally let $\mathcal{Y} = [Z/\mu_3]$ where $Z = V(yz-1) \subset \mathbb{A}^3$. Since the equation $yz-1$ is μ_3-fixed the map $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a strong regular embedding. Since μ_3 acts freely on Z on the quotient stack $\mathcal{Y}$ is represented by the scheme $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m$. Thus $I\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}$ and so $(I\mathcal{Y})_\omega$ and $(I\mathcal{Y})_{\omega^2}$ are both empty. Thus $\mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{Y}) = \mathrm{CH}^*(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}$ and the orbifold product is trivial. The pullback induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ maps l_ω and l_{ω^2} to 0 and the map on orbifold Chow rings is the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[t, l_\omega, l_\omega^2]/(3t, l_\omega^2 - 2t l_\omega, l_\omega l_{\omega^2} - 2t^2, l_{\omega^2}^2 - t l_{\omega^2}) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

given by setting $t, l_\omega, l_{\omega^2}$ to be equal to 0.

4. Application: The generalized Euler sequence and cotangent bundles stacks

Let T be a torus acting properly on a smooth variety X defined over a field k. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/T]$ be the corresponding quotient stack.

In this section we consider two natural quotient stacks that arise from this data, namely $T^*\mathcal{X}$ and $[T^*X/T]$. The first stack is intrinsic to $\mathcal{X}$ while the latter depends on the presentation of $\mathcal{X}$ as a quotient stack. There is an exact sequence of vector bundles on $\mathcal{X}$

$$0 \to T^*\mathcal{X} \to [T^*X/T] \to [X \times \mathrm{Lie}(T)^*/T] \to 0. \tag{4}$$

Since T is a torus $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ is a trivial T-module and the last bundle in the sequence is the trivial bundle $[X/T] \times Lie(T)$. We call (4) the *generalized Euler sequence* because, when

$X = \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \smallsetminus \{0\}$ and $T = \mathbb{G}_m$ acts with weights all equal to one then $\mathcal{X} = [X/T] = \mathbb{P}^n$ and (4) is the usual Euler sequence for the cotangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Our goal is to understand the relationship between the stacks $T^*\mathcal{X}$ and $[T^*X/T]$. Since both stacks are vector bundles over $\mathcal{X}$ the inclusion $i\colon T^*\mathcal{X} \to [T^*X/T]$ induces pullback isomorphism $i^*\colon \operatorname{CH}^*([T^*X/T] \to \operatorname{CH}^*(\mathcal{X})$.

However, stronger results hold. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be the coarse space of $T^*\mathcal{X}$ and let $\mathbf{N}$ be the coarse space of $[T^*X/T]$. By Sumihiro's theorem [Sum], the action of a torus on a normal variety is locally linearizable. This implies that the coarse spaces $\mathbf{M}$, $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{X}$ are all k-varieties.

There is commutative triangle of morphisms of schemes.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{M} \arrow[rr, "i"] \arrow[dr] & & \mathbf{N} \arrow[dl] \\
& \mathbf{X} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that in general $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ are not vector bundles over $\mathbf{X}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The inclusion $\iota\colon T^*\mathcal{X} \to [T^*X/T]$ is a strong regular embedding, so the inclusion $\mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{N}$ is a regular embedding which is étale locally isomorphic to a section of a smooth morphism.*

Proof. Observe that the sequence $T^*\mathcal{X} \to [T^*X/T] \to [X \times \operatorname{Lie}(T)^*/T]$ is exact and $\operatorname{Lie}(T)^*$ is a trivial T-module (because T is diagonalizable). Hence the normal bundle to ι is the trivial bundle $T^*\mathcal{X} \times \operatorname{Lie}(T)^*$ so ι is a strong regular embedding. $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *If $\tilde{N}$ is a canonical (functorial) resolution of singularities of N then $\tilde{M} := M \times_N \tilde{N}$ is a resolution of singularities as well.*

The main result of this section is the following result about the Chow groups of $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$.

Theorem 4.3. *If $\mathbf{N}$ is a scheme then the pullback $\iota^*\colon \operatorname{CH}_*(\mathbf{N}) \to \operatorname{CH}_{*-\dim T}(\mathbf{M})$ is an isomorphism of integral Chow groups.*

Theorem 4.3 follows from a more general result about strong regular embeddings of vector bundles on not-necessarily smooth quotient stacks.

Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/T]$ be a reduced, tame quotient stack with finite stabilizer. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{V}' \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & \mathcal{V} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{V}'' \arrow[r] \arrow[dl] & 0 \\
& & \mathcal{X} & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a short exact sequence of vector bundles on $\mathcal{X}$ such that there is a stratification of $\mathcal{X}$ on which $\mathcal{V}''$ is trivial so the inclusion $\mathcal{V}' \to \mathcal{V}$ is a strong regular embedding by Theorem 2.2. Denote by $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{V}'$ the coarse moduli spaces of $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}'$ respectively and let $i\colon \mathbf{V}' \to \mathbf{V}$ be the inclusion.

Theorem 4.4. *If $\mathbf{V}$ is a scheme the pullback on (higher) Chow groups $i^*\colon \operatorname{CH}_*(\mathbf{V}, i) \to \operatorname{CH}_{*-d}(\mathbf{V}', i)$ is an isomorphism with integer coefficients.*

Remark 4.5. The assumption that $\mathbf{V}$ is a scheme is required for the proof because we use the localization theorem for higher Chow groups. If we knew that this sequence was also valid for algebraic spaces then the theorem would go through without this hypothesis.

We first prove a special case of Theorem 4.4

Lemma 4.6. *The conclusion of Theorem 4.4 holds for classifying stacks BH where H is finite, linearly reductive group.*

Proof. A vector bundle on BH is a stack $\mathcal{V} = [V/H]$ where V is a linear representation of H. The coarse space of $\mathcal{V}$ is the quotient scheme $V/H = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}(V)^H$.

By hypothesis we are given a short exact sequence

$$0 \to V' \to V \to V'' \to 0$$

of H-modules such that V'' is trivial.

Since H is linearly reductive any short exact sequence of H-modules splits, so $V = V' \oplus V''$ and the inclusion $V' \to V = V' \oplus V''$ is the 0-section of the projection $V' \oplus V'' \to V'$. Moreover, since H acts trivially on V'', $V/H = V'/H \times V''$ and the inclusions of quotient $V'/H \to V/H$ is the inclusion of the 0-section of the trivial vector bundle $V'/H \times V \to V'/H$. Since pullback along the 0-section of a vector bundle induces isomorphisms of (higher) Chow groups. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *If $\mathcal{X} = [X/T]$ is a reduced Deligne-Mumford quotient stack with T a diagonalizable group then there is a dense open substack $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{U}$ is isomorphic to $BH \times W$ where H is a finite diagonalizable group and W is affine.*

Proof of Lemma. Embedding T into a torus T' the stack $\mathcal{X}$ can presented as the quotient $[(X \times_T T')/T']$. Since T and T' are assumed to be smooth, $X \times_T T'$ is also reduced. Replacing T with T' we may assume that it is a torus. By [Tho, Lemma 4.3] there is a dense T-invariant open subspace of X which is a separated scheme. Replacing $\mathcal{X}$ by this open subset we may assume that X is a reduced, separated scheme.

By [Tho, Proposition 4.10] there is an affine open subspace $U \subset X$ and a diagonalizable subgroup $T' \subset T$ with quotient torus T'' such that T acts via the homomorphism $T \to T''$ and T'' acts freely on U. If we let $W = U/T$, then U is T-equivariantly isomorphic to $T/T' \times W$. Since $[U/T]$ is Deligne-Mumford it follows that $H = T'$ is a finite group and so we see that $[U/T]$ is equivalent to $BH \times W$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$ and let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open substack. Let $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{U}}$ denote the restriction of $\mathcal{V}$ to a vector bundle on $\mathcal{U}$ and let $\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{U}}$ be its coarse moduli space.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{V}' \to \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}''$ be an exact sequence of vector bundles on $\mathcal{X} = [X/T]$ satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem. Then there is a dense open substack $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ such that the pullbacks $i_{\mathcal{U}}^*\colon \mathrm{CH}_*(\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{U}}) \to \mathrm{CH}_*(\mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{U}})$ is an isomorphism. (Here $i_{\mathcal{U}}\colon \mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{U}} \to \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is the inclusion of coarse spaces.)*

Proof. By Lemma 4.7 there is a dense open substack $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ isomorphic to $BH \times W$ where H is a finite diagonalizable group and W is affine. The restriction of a vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ to U is a sum of vector bundles of the form $[V/H] \otimes \mathcal{E}$ where V is a representation of H and $\mathcal{E}$ is a vector bundle on W. Therefore, given an exact sequence $\mathcal{V}' \to \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}''$ we can shrink W and hence $\mathcal{U}$ so that each of these bundles restricted to $\mathcal{U}$ is of the form $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_W$. We can then repeat the argument of Lemma 4.6. $\square$

Lemma 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Deligne-Mumford stack with finite stabilizer and let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open set with complement $\mathcal{Z}$ (with the reduced induced substack structure). Let $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{Z}$ be the coarse spaces of $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{Z}$ respectively. Then the inclusion $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ (resp. $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{X}$) induces a closed (resp. open) immersion $\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{X}$ (resp. $\mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{X}$) and $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{X} \setminus \mathbf{Z}$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.9. Again using the structure theorem for coarse moduli spaces we can reduce to the case that $\mathcal{X} = [\operatorname{Spec} A/G]$ for some finite group G. Then $\mathcal{U} = [W/G]$ where W is a G-invariant open set. Let $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A \setminus W$. The coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{U}$ is $\pi(W)$ where $\pi\colon \operatorname{Spec} A \to \operatorname{Spec} A^G$ is the quotient map. Likewise the coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{Z}$ is $\pi(Y)$.

Since the morphism π is a geometric quotient its geometric fibers are G-orbits of geometric points. It follows that $\pi(W)$ is open in $X = \operatorname{Spec} A^G$ and equal to $\pi(\operatorname{Spec} A) \setminus \pi(Z)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Observe that if $\mathcal{V}$ is a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is an open substack then the complement of $\mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ (with its reduced induced stack structure) is $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ where $\mathcal{Z}$ is the complement of $\mathcal{U}$ with its reduced induced stack structure. Hence by Lemma 4.9 the complement of the coarse space $\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is the coarse space $\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Now by Lemma 4.8 there is an open substack $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that the inclusion $\mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{U}} \to \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{U}}$ induces a pullback isomorphism of (higher) Chow groups $\mathrm{CH}^d(\mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{U}}, i) \to \mathrm{CH}^d(\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{U}}, i)$ for every d, i. By Noetherian induction we may assume that the inclusion $\mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{Z}} \to \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ also induces a pullback isomorphism of (higher) Chow groups. The theorem follows by applying the localization exact sequence for higher Chow groups (1). $\square$

Proof of Corollary 2.7. By Theorem 2.6 we know that the pullback $(I\iota)^*\colon\ \mathrm{CH}^*(I(T\mathcal{X}) \to I([T^*X/G])$ commutes with the orbifold product. Thus it suffices to show that $(I\iota)^*$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups. This follows because both $T^*\mathcal{X}$ and $[T^*X/G]$ are vector bundles over $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ so $IT^*\mathcal{X}$ and $I[T^*X/G]$ are both vector bundles over $I\mathcal{X}$. Hence the pullback $I\iota^*$ is an isomorphism. □

Remark 4.10. The methods used to prove Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 yield analogous isomorphisms for the K-theory of coherent sheaves. Since K-theory is naturally defined for schemes over an arbitrary base we do not need to assume that the base scheme is a field. Also, there is a localization long exact sequence for the higher K-theory of algebraic spaces so we can obtain the K-theory result in more generality. In particular, we may assume that X is a smooth algebraic space defined over a Noetherian scheme S and that T is diagonalizable - i.e., isomorphic to a closed subgroup of a torus.

5. Application: Hypertoric Stacks and Lawrence Toric Stacks

A natural algebraic way to construct hypertoric varieties is via geometric invariant theory (GIT) as follows:

Fix integers d, n with $n \geq d$ and let V be an n-dimensional representation of the rank d torus T. Choosing a diagonalizing basis for the action of T_d let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d\times n}$ be the matrix of weights for the action of d. We denote by a_k the k-th column vector of A. We assume that A has maximal rank over $\mathbb{Q}$ which is equivalent to assuming that the generic stabilizer is finite.

There is a natural action of T on $V \times V^*$ and with an appropriate choice of basis the weight matrix for this action is $A^{\pm} = (a_1 \dots a_n - a_1 \dots - a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d\times 2n}$.

If $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is a character of T then we can consider the θ-stable and semi-stable loci in $V \times V^*$. For generic choice of θ, $(V \times V^*)^s = (V \times V^*)^{ss}$. Note that the coordinate ring of $V \times V^*$ contains invariant elements so the GIT quotient $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm}, \theta) := (V \times V^*)_\theta // T_d$ is not projective. However, it is semi-projective meaning that it is semi-projective over $\operatorname{Spec}\operatorname{Sym}((V \times V^*))^T$ and the quotient is a $2n-d$-dimensional toric variety called a *Lawrence toric variety*. The quotient stack $\mathcal{X}(A^{\pm}, \theta) := [(V \times V^*)^s/T]$ is called a *Lawrence toric stack* and its coarse moduli space is $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm}, \theta)$.

The representation $V \times V^*$ has a natural T-invariant algebraic symplectic pairing $\mu\colon (V \times V^*) \to \mathrm{Lie}(T_d)^* = \mathbb{C}^d$. If we choose coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on V and dual coordinates $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ on V^* so that T_d acts on x_i with weight a_i and on y_i with weight $-a_i$ then μ is given by the formula

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots y_n) \mapsto (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$$

where $\mu_i = \sum_j a_{ij} x_j y_j$.

The quotient $\mathbf{Y}(A,\theta) = \mu^{-1}(0)//_{\theta}T$ is the associated *hypertoric variety*. Following Jiang and Tseng [JT2] we refer to the quotient stack

$$\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta) := [\left(\mu^{-1}(0) \cap (V \times V^*)^s\right)/T]$$

as a hypertoric stack. The variety $\mathbf{Y}(A,\theta)$ is the coarse space of $\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta)$.

Example 5.1. If $A = (a_0, \dots a_n)$ is a $1 \times (n+1)$ matrix with all a_i positive, denote by $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ the quotient stack $[\mathbb{A}^{n+1} \smallsetminus \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m]$ where $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts with weights $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$. If θ is positive then $\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta) = T^*\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ and the Lawrence toric stack $\mathcal{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ equals $[T^*(\mathbb{A}^{n+1} \smallsetminus \{0\}))/T]$.

Theorem 5.2. *The stack $\mathcal{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ has a Zariski open cover by open sets $\mathcal{U}$ each isomorphic to $(\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta) \cap \mathcal{U}) \times \mathbb{A}^d$ such that under this isomorphism ι corresponds to a section of the projection $\mathcal{U} \to (\mathcal{X}(A,\theta) \cap \mathcal{U})$.*

In particular the inclusion $\iota \colon \mathcal{Y}(A,\theta) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ is a strong regular embedding of smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks.

Applying Corollary 2.4 we obtain as a corollary the following result about resolutions of singularities.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \to \mathbf{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ be a canonical resolution of singularities of the Lawrence toric variety $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ and set $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} := \mathbf{Y}(A,\theta) \times_{\mathbf{X}(\mathbb{A}^{\pm},\theta)} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}$. Then $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} \to \mathbf{Y}(A,\theta)$ is a resolution of singularities of the hypertoric variety $\mathbf{Y}(A,\theta)$.*

As in the case of cotangent bundles we also have a result about integral Chow groups and Chow rings as well.

Theorem 5.4. *The pullback $\iota^* \colon \operatorname{CH}^*(\mathcal{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)) \to \operatorname{CH}^*(\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta))$ is an isomorphism of integral Chow rings of Deligne-Mumford stacks.*

Also, if $\mathbf{Y}(A,\theta) \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbf{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)$ is the inclusion of a hypertoric variety into the corresponding Lawrence toric variety then the pullback $i^* \colon \operatorname{CH}_k(\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm},\theta)) \to \operatorname{CH}_{k-d}(\mathbf{Y}(A,\theta))$ is an isomorphism of integral Chow rings.

The analogous statements also hold for the K-theory of coherent sheaves.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. By [HS, Corollary 4.4] a point is θ-stable if it lies in the complement of the vanishing of the irrelevant ideal

$$B_{\theta} = \langle \prod_{\sigma(C,\theta)} : C \text{ any column basis of } A \rangle$$

where $\sigma(C, \theta)$ is defined as follows: If $C = \{a_{i1}, \dots, a_{id}\}$ then there are unique non-zero[3] rational numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ such that

$$\lambda_1 a_{i1} + \lambda_2 a_{i2} + \ldots + \lambda_d a_{id} = \theta$$

and

$$\sigma(C, \theta) = \{x_{i_j} : \lambda_j > 0\} \bigcup \{y_{i_l} : \lambda_l < 0\}.$$

Each set of indices in $\sigma(C, \theta)$ corresponds to a maximal cone in the fan of the toric stack $\mathcal{X}(A,^{\pm}, \theta)$. Let $U_{\sigma(C,\theta)} \subset V \times V^*$ be the principal open set corresponding to the monomial $x_{\sigma(C,\theta)} = \prod_{\sigma(C,\theta)} x_{i_j} y_{i_l}$ and let $\mathcal{U}_{\sigma(C,\theta)} = [U_{\sigma(C,\theta)}/T]$. By construction the $U_{\sigma(C,\theta)}$ form an open cover of $(V \times V^*)^s$. Theorem 5.2 then follows from the following Proposition. ☐

Proposition 5.5. *Let* $\sigma = \sigma(C, \theta)$ *for some fixed subset* C *of the columns of* A. *If* $W \subset U_\sigma$ *is a* $\mathbb{G}_m^{2n}$*-invariant irreducible closed subset transverse to* $\mu^{-1}(0)$ *then* W *is* T*-equivariantly isomorphic to* $(\mu^{-1}(0) \cap W) \times \mathbb{A}^d$ *where* T *acts trivially on* $\mathbb{A}^d$. *Under this isomorphism the inclusion* $W \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow W$ *corresponds to a section of the projection* $(\mu^{-1}(0) \cap V) \times \mathbb{A}^d \to \mu^{-1}(0) \cap V$.

Proof of Proposition 5.5. After reordering the coordinates and we may assume that $x_\sigma = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_k)(y_{k+1} y_{k+2} \dots y_d)$ for some k with $0 \leq k \leq d$. Since A has rank d we may also perform row operations over $\mathbb{Z}$ to ensure that $a_{ii} \neq 0$ for $i \leq d$. Then on U_σ the hypertoric equations $\{\sum a_{ij} x_j y_j\}_{i=1}^d$ can be rewritten as $x_i + \frac{1}{y_i} \sum_{j \neq i} (a_{ij}/a_{ii}) x_j y_j$ if $i > k$ and $y_i + \frac{1}{x_i} \sum_{j \neq i} (a_{ij}/a_{ii}) x_j y_j$ if $l \leq k$. Then we can define an isomorphism $(\mu^{-1}(0) \cap U_\sigma) \times \mathbb{A}^d \to U_\sigma$ where T acts trivially on the second factor by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} &((x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n), (z_1, \dots, z_d)) \mapsto \\ &(x_1, \dots, x_k, \tfrac{z_{k+1}}{y_{k+1}}, \dots, \tfrac{z_d}{y_d}, x_{d+1}, \dots x_n, \tfrac{z_1}{x_1}, \dots, \tfrac{z_k}{x_k}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_n) \end{aligned}$$

Now let $W \subset U_{\sigma(C,\theta)}$ be a non-empty irreducible $\mathbb{G}_m^{2n}$-invariant closed subset transverse to $\mu^{-1}(0)$. Then $W = U_\sigma \cap V(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_l}, y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_m})$ for some sets indices $\{i_1, \dots, i_l\}, \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$, with $\{i_1 \dots, i_l\} \cap \{1, \dots, k\} = \emptyset$ and $\{j_1, \dots j_m\} \cap \{k+1, \dots, d\} = \emptyset$.

If $\{i_1, \dots, i_l\} \cap \{k+1, \dots, d\} = \emptyset$ and $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\} \cap \{1, \dots, d\} = \emptyset$ as well, then the argument used above shows that there is a T-equivariant isomorphism $W \to (W \cap \mu^{-1}(0)) \times \mathbb{A}^d$.

If this is not the case we can assume, without loss of generality, that $j_1 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and after reordering coordinates we have that $j_1 = 1$. Let $V_1 \subset V$ be the submodule where $x_1 = 0$ and let A' be the matrix obtained by deleting the first column of A. Then W is a closed subset of $\mathbb{G}_m \times (V_1 \times V_1^*)$ and the hypertoric equations $\sum a_{ij} x_j y_j$ restrict on $V_1 \times V_1^*$ to the equations for $\mathcal{Y}(A', \theta)$ in $\mathcal{X}(A'^{\pm}, \theta)$. The transversality assumption ensures that the hypertoric equations do not degenerate on W. Therefore, by induction on $\dim V$ we can conclude that the conclusion of the Lemma holds for the embedding $\mu^{-1}(0) \cap W \hookrightarrow W$. □

---

[3] The assumption that θ is generic ensures that all of the λ_i are non-zero. The condition that the λ_i are non-zero for each column basis of A is equivalent to the condition that every θ-semi-stable point is stable.

Proof of Theorem 5.4. The proof is similar to the proof of [HS, Theorem 1.1]. Since $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm}, \theta)$ is a semi-projective toric variety it is the toric variety of a fan Σ_θ in a lattice N which has full dimensional support. A vector $v \in N$ determines a 1-parameter subgroup λ_v which acts on the toric variety $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm}, \theta)$. If v is in the support of the fan Σ_θ then the action on $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm}, \theta)$ is filterable. This means we can order the fixed components for the action of λ_v so that Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm}, \theta)$ with respect to this action gives a filtration

$$\emptyset = \mathbf{U}_0 \subset \mathbf{U}_1 \subset \ldots \subset \mathbf{U}_r = \mathbf{X}(A^{\pm}, \theta)$$

such that for every $i \geq 1$, the set $\mathbf{U}_i \smallsetminus \mathbf{U}_{i-1}$ is a closed subset of $\mathbf{U}_\sigma$ where σ is a maximal cone in the fan of X.

Now if λ_v is the one-parameter subgroup corresponding to the sum of the generators for the rays in the fan Σ_θ then λ_v is the image of diagonal one-parameter subgroup of the torus $\mathbb{G}_m^{2n}$ under the quotient map $\mathbb{G}_m^{2n} \to \mathbb{G}_m^{2n-d}$ [HS, Proof of Lemma 6.5]. Since the hypertoric equations are homogeneous in the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n$ it follows that the quotient $\mathbf{Y}(A, \theta)$ is invariant under the action of λ_v.

As observed by Hausel and Sturmfels, the fixed loci for the λ_v actions on $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm}, \theta)$ and $\mathbf{Y}(A, \theta)$ are equal since these two semi-projective varieties have a common core.

The Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of $\mathbf{Y}(A, \theta)$ gives a filtration

$$\emptyset = \mathbf{V}_0 \subset \mathbf{V}_1 \subset \ldots \subset \mathbf{V}_r = \mathbf{Y}(A, \theta)$$

such that $\mathbf{V}_i \smallsetminus \mathbf{V}_{i-1}$ is closed in $\mathbf{U}_i \smallsetminus \mathbf{U}_{i-1}$. Moreover, since the hypertoric equations have positive weight with respect to the action of λ_v the codimension of a component of the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of $\mathbf{Y}(A, \theta)$ in the corresponding component of the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm}, \theta)$ is constant.

By construction of $\mathbf{X}(A^{\pm}, \theta)$ as a geometric invariant theory quotient, the inverse image of the affine toric open subset $\mathbf{U}_\sigma$ is an open set $U_{\sigma(C,\theta)}$ for some column basis C of A. Likewise any torus-invariant closed subset of $\mathbf{W} \subset \mathbf{U}_\sigma$ appearing in the filtration corresponds to a $\mathbb{G}_m^{2n}$ invariant closed subset $W \subset U_{\sigma(C,\theta)}$ which is transverse to $\mu^{-1}(0)$.

By Proposition 5.5 there is a T-equivariant isomorphism $(\mu^{-1}(0) \cap W) \times \mathbb{A}^d \to W$ where T acts trivially on second factor. Hence the pullbacks ι^* and i^* induce isomorphisms of (higher) Chow groups $\mathrm{CH}_j(\mathcal{U}_{i+1} \smallsetminus \mathcal{U}_i, k) \to \mathrm{CH}_{j-d}(\mathcal{V}_{i+1} \smallsetminus \mathcal{V}_i, k)$ and $i^* \colon \mathrm{CH}_j(\mathbf{U}_{i+1} \smallsetminus \mathbf{U}_i, k) \to \mathrm{CH}_{j-d}(\mathbf{V}_{i+1} \smallsetminus \mathbf{V}_i, k)$ for all for all non-negative integers j, k where $\mathcal{U}_i = [U_i/T]$ and $\mathcal{V}_i = [V_i/T]$.

Using induction on the stratifications $\mathbf{U}.$ and $\mathbf{V}.$ and the localization long exact sequence for higher Chow groups we see that pullback of (higher) Chow groups $i^*\colon \mathrm{CH}_*(\mathbf{X}(A^\pm,\theta),k) \to \mathrm{CH}_*(\mathbf{Y}(A,\theta),k)$ is an isomorphism.

The same argument also works for the (higher) K-theory of coherent sheaves. $\square$

Remark 5.6. Although this result is a Chow group analogue of Hausel and Sturmfels's earlier result on cohomology [HS], the Chow and cohomology groups of a singular toric variety need not be equal. Also observe that the same methods can be used to show that there are corresponding isomorphisms in algebraic K-theory.

Remark 5.7. The proof of Theorem 5.4 makes crucial use of the fact that a Lawrence toric variety is projective over the affine toric variety $\mathrm{Spec}(V \times V^*)^T$, since this condition implies that it has a stratification by unions of torus orbits. It would be interesting to give an example where where the conclusion of Theorem 5.4 fails for a non-quasi projective quotient of an open set in $(V \times V^*)$ on which T acts properly cf. [dMM, Remark 3.3].

Proof of Corollary 2.8. Again, by Theorem 2.6 it suffices to show that the pullback $(I\iota)^*\colon \mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X}(A^\pm,\theta)) \to \mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta))$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups. Unlike the proof of 2.7 this not immediate because $\mathcal{X}(A^\pm,\theta)$ and $\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta)$ are not vector bundles over a common base.

To prove this isomorphism we fix some notation. Let $X(A^\pm,\theta) = (V \times V^*)^s$ and $Y(A,\theta) = \mu^{-1}(0) \cap X(A^\pm,\theta)$. Then $\mathcal{X}(A^\pm,\theta) = [X(A^\pm,\theta)/T]$ and $\mathcal{Y}(A^\pm,\theta) = [Y(A^\pm,\theta)/T]$.

Since T is diagonalizable and acts properly $I_T(X(A^\pm,\theta)) = \coprod_{\{g\in T||g|<\infty\}} X(A^\pm,\theta)^g$ and

$$I\mathcal{X}(A^\pm,\theta) = [I_T(X(A^\pm,\theta))/T] = \coprod_{\{g\in T||g|<\infty\}} [X(A^\pm,\theta)^g/T]$$

and a similar statement holds for $I\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta)$. (Note that all disjoint sums are finite since if T acts properly on a space X, $X^g = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $g \in T$.)

Observe that if V is a T-module and $g \in T$ then $(V^*)^g = (V^g)^*$ so $V \times V^*)^g = V^g \times (V^g)^*$ as T-modules. Also, if θ is a character then

$$(V^g \times (V^*)^g)^s = (V^g \times (V^*)^g) \cap (V \times V^*)^s$$

where stability is take with respect to the character θ. Since the action of T on V is diagonalized, the submodule V^g is obtained by setting coordinates $x_{i_1},\dots x_{i_k}$ to zero. Hence, $X(A^\pm,\theta)^g = X(A_g^\pm,\theta)$ where A_g is the matrix obtained from A by deleting the $i_1,\dots i_k$th columns. (Note that k and the integers $i_1,\dots,i_k$ depend on g.) Hence $I\mathcal{X}(A^\pm,\theta) = \coprod_{\{g\in T||g|<\infty\}} \mathcal{X}(A_g^\pm,\theta)$. A similar argument shows that $I\mathcal{Y} = \coprod_{\{g\in T||g|<\infty\}} \mathcal{Y}(A_g,\theta)$. Hence by Theorem 5.4 the pullback $I\iota^*\colon \mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{X}(A^\pm,\theta)) \to \mathrm{CH}^*(I\mathcal{Y}(A,\theta))$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

We conclude with a conjecture.

Conjecture 5.8. *If* $\tilde{X}$ *is a canonical (hence toric) resolution of singularities of the Lawrence toric variety* $X(A,\theta)$ *and* $\tilde{Y} = Y(A,\theta)\times_{X(A,\theta)}\tilde{X}$ *then the pullback* $\mathrm{CH}^*(\tilde{X}) \to \mathrm{CH}^*(\tilde{Y})$ *is an isomorphism.*

References

[AV] Dan Abramovich and Angelo Vistoli, *Compactifying the space of stable maps*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 1, 27–75 (electronic). 4, 8

[Art] M. Artin, *Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1969), no. 36, 23–58. 2, 10

[BD] Roger Bielawski and Andrew S. Dancer, *The geometry and topology of toric hyperkähler manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **8** (2000), no. 4, 727–760. 3

[Blo] Spencer Bloch, *Algebraic cycles and higher* K*-theory*, Adv. in Math. **61** (1986), no. 3, 267–304. 5

[CR] Weimin Chen and Yongbin Ruan, *Orbifold Gromov-Witten theory*, Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001), Contemp. Math., vol. 310, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 25–85. 11

[dMM] M. A. de Cataldo, L. Migliorini, and M. Mustata, *The combinatorics and topology of proper toric maps*, ArXiv e-prints (2014). 23

[EG] Dan Edidin and William Graham, *Equivariant intersection theory*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 3, 595–634. 5

[EJK1] Dan Edidin, Tyler J. Jarvis, and Takashi Kimura, *Logarithmic trace and orbifold products*, Duke Math. J. **153** (2010), no. 3, 427–473. 11, 12, 14

[EJK2] ———, *A plethora of inertial products*, Annals of K-theory, to appear (2014). 11, 12

[Ful] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. 14

[EGA4] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Élements de Géométrie Algébrique IV. Étude locale des schemas et des morphismes de schémas*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **20, 24, 28, 32** (1964, 1965, 1966, 1967). 10

[HS] Tamás Hausel and Bernd Sturmfels, *Toric hyperKähler varieties*, Doc. Math. **7** (2002), 495–534 (electronic). 3, 20, 22, 23

[JKK] Tyler J. Jarvis, Ralph Kaufmann, and Takashi Kimura, *Stringy K-theory and the Chern character*, Invent. Math. **168** (2007), no. 1, 23–81. 11

[JT1] Yunfeng Jiang and Hsian-Hua Tseng, *Note on orbifold Chow ring of semi-projective toric Deligne-Mumford stacks*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **16** (2008), no. 1, 231–250. 3, 4, 7

[JT2] ———, *The orbifold Chow ring of hypertoric Deligne-Mumford stacks*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **619** (2008), 175–202. 20

[Kol] János Kollár, *Lectures on resolution of singularities*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 166, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007. 2, 10

[Kre] Andrew Kresch, *Cycle groups for Artin stacks*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), no. 3, 495–536. 5

[Lev] Marc Levine, *Techniques of localization in the theory of algebraic cycles*, J. Algebraic Geom. **10** (2001), no. 2, 299–363. 5

[Low] Daniel Lowengrub, *A cancellation theorem for Segre classes*, arxiv:1503.01569. 11

[Pro1] Nicholas Proudfoot, *All the GIT quotients at once*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 4, 1687–1698. 3

[Pro2] Nicholas J. Proudfoot, *A survey of hypertoric geometry and topology*, Toric topology, Contemp. Math., vol. 460, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 323–338. 3

[Sum] Hideyasu Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14** (1974), 1–28. 16

[Tho] R. W. Thomason, *Comparison of equivariant algebraic and topological K-theory*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), no. 3, 795–825. 17

Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, Missouri 65211

E-mail address: `edidind@missouri.edu`